Big Data is Not About the Data!

Gary King¹

Institute for Quantitative Social Science Harvard University

(Talk at Golden Seeds Boston 6/20/2013)

¹GaryKing.org

The Last 50 Years:

The Last 50 Years:

Survey research

The Last 50 Years:

- Survey research
- Aggregate government statistics

The Last 50 Years:

- Survey research
- Aggregate government statistics
- One off studies of individual places, people, or events

The Last 50 Years:

- Survey research
- Aggregate government statistics
- One off studies of individual places, people, or events

The Last 50 Years:

- Survey research
- Aggregate government statistics
- One off studies of individual places, people, or events

The Next 50 Years: Fast increases in new data sources, due to...

• Much more of the above — improved, expanded, and applied

The Last 50 Years:

- Survey research
- Aggregate government statistics
- One off studies of individual places, people, or events

- Much more of the above improved, expanded, and applied
- Shrinking computers & the growing Internet: data everywhere

The Last 50 Years:

- Survey research
- Aggregate government statistics
- One off studies of individual places, people, or events

- Much more of the above improved, expanded, and applied
- Shrinking computers & the growing Internet: data everywhere
- The replication movement: data sharing (e.g., Dataverse)

The Last 50 Years:

- Survey research
- Aggregate government statistics
- One off studies of individual places, people, or events

- Much more of the above improved, expanded, and applied
- Shrinking computers & the growing Internet: data everywhere
- The replication movement: data sharing (e.g., Dataverse)
- Governments encouraging data collection & experimentation

The Last 50 Years:

- Survey research
- Aggregate government statistics
- One off studies of individual places, people, or events

- Much more of the above improved, expanded, and applied
- Shrinking computers & the growing Internet: data everywhere
- The replication movement: data sharing (e.g., Dataverse)
- Governments encouraging data collection & experimentation
- Advances in statistical methods, informatics, & software

The Last 50 Years:

- Survey research
- Aggregate government statistics
- One off studies of individual places, people, or events

- Much more of the above improved, expanded, and applied
- Shrinking computers & the growing Internet: data everywhere
- The replication movement: data sharing (e.g., Dataverse)
- Governments encouraging data collection & experimentation
- Advances in statistical methods, informatics, & software
- The march of quantification: through academia, professions, government, & commerce (SuperCrunchers, The Numerati, MoneyBall)

1. Unstructured text: emails, speeches, reports, social media updates, web pages, newspapers, scholarly literature, product reviews

- Unstructured text: emails, speeches, reports, social media updates, web pages, newspapers, scholarly literature, product reviews
- 2. Commerce: credit cards, sales, real estate transactions, RFIDs

- Unstructured text: emails, speeches, reports, social media updates, web pages, newspapers, scholarly literature, product reviews
- 2. Commerce: credit cards, sales, real estate transactions, RFIDs
- 3. Geographic location: cell phones, Fastlane, garage cameras

- Unstructured text: emails, speeches, reports, social media updates, web pages, newspapers, scholarly literature, product reviews
- 2. Commerce: credit cards, sales, real estate transactions, RFIDs
- 3. Geographic location: cell phones, Fastlane, garage cameras
- 4. Health information: digital medical records, hospital admittances, accelerometers & other devices in cell phones

- Unstructured text: emails, speeches, reports, social media updates, web pages, newspapers, scholarly literature, product reviews
- 2. Commerce: credit cards, sales, real estate transactions, RFIDs
- 3. Geographic location: cell phones, Fastlane, garage cameras
- 4. Health information: digital medical records, hospital admittances, accelerometers & other devices in cell phones
- 5. Biological sciences: genomics, proteomics, metabolomics, imaging producing numerous person-level variables

- Unstructured text: emails, speeches, reports, social media updates, web pages, newspapers, scholarly literature, product reviews
- 2. Commerce: credit cards, sales, real estate transactions, RFIDs
- 3. Geographic location: cell phones, Fastlane, garage cameras
- 4. Health information: digital medical records, hospital admittances, accelerometers & other devices in cell phones
- 5. Biological sciences: genomics, proteomics, metabolomics, imaging producing numerous person-level variables
- 6. Satellite imagery: increasing in scope & resolution

- Unstructured text: emails, speeches, reports, social media updates, web pages, newspapers, scholarly literature, product reviews
- 2. Commerce: credit cards, sales, real estate transactions, RFIDs
- 3. Geographic location: cell phones, Fastlane, garage cameras
- 4. Health information: digital medical records, hospital admittances, accelerometers & other devices in cell phones
- 5. Biological sciences: genomics, proteomics, metabolomics, imaging producing numerous person-level variables
- 6. Satellite imagery: increasing in scope & resolution
- Electoral activity: ballot images, precinct-level results, individual-level registration, primary participation, campaign contributions

- Unstructured text: emails, speeches, reports, social media updates, web pages, newspapers, scholarly literature, product reviews
- 2. Commerce: credit cards, sales, real estate transactions, RFIDs
- 3. Geographic location: cell phones, Fastlane, garage cameras
- 4. Health information: digital medical records, hospital admittances, accelerometers & other devices in cell phones
- 5. Biological sciences: genomics, proteomics, metabolomics, imaging producing numerous person-level variables
- 6. Satellite imagery: increasing in scope & resolution
- Electoral activity: ballot images, precinct-level results, individual-level registration, primary participation, campaign contributions
- 8. Web surfing artifacts: clicks, searches, and advertising clickthroughs, multiplayer games, virtual worlds

- Unstructured text: emails, speeches, reports, social media updates, web pages, newspapers, scholarly literature, product reviews
- 2. Commerce: credit cards, sales, real estate transactions, RFIDs
- 3. Geographic location: cell phones, Fastlane, garage cameras
- 4. Health information: digital medical records, hospital admittances, accelerometers & other devices in cell phones
- 5. Biological sciences: genomics, proteomics, metabolomics, imaging producing numerous person-level variables
- 6. Satellite imagery: increasing in scope & resolution
- Electoral activity: ballot images, precinct-level results, individual-level registration, primary participation, campaign contributions
- 8. Web surfing artifacts: clicks, searches, and advertising clickthroughs, multiplayer games, virtual worlds
- 9. > 90% of all data ever created was created last year

• Data:

- Data:
 - easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements

- Data:
 - easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
 - becoming commoditized

- easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
- becoming commoditized
- Ignore it & every institution will have more every year

- easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
- becoming commoditized
- Ignore it & every institution will have more every year
- With a bit of effort: huge data production increases

- Data:
 - easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
 - becoming commoditized
 - Ignore it & every institution will have more every year
 - With a bit of effort: huge data production increases
- Where the Value is: the Analytics

- Data:
 - easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
 - becoming commoditized
 - Ignore it & every institution will have more every year
 - With a bit of effort: huge data production increases
- Where the Value is: the Analytics
 - · Output can be highly customized

- easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
- becoming commoditized
- Ignore it & every institution will have more every year
- With a bit of effort: huge data production increases
- Where the Value is: the Analytics
 - Output can be highly customized
 - Moore's Law (doubling speed/power every 18 months)

- easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
- becoming commoditized
- Ignore it & every institution will have more every year
- With a bit of effort: huge data production increases
- Where the Value is: the Analytics
 - Output can be highly customized
 - Moore's Law (doubling speed/power every 18 months)
 - v. Our Students (1000x speed increase in 1 day)

- easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
- becoming commoditized
- Ignore it & every institution will have more every year
- With a bit of effort: huge data production increases
- Where the Value is: the Analytics
 - Output can be highly customized
 - Moore's Law (doubling speed/power every 18 months)
 v. Our Students (1000x speed increase in 1 day)
 - \$2M computer v. 2 hours of algorithm design

- easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
- becoming commoditized
- Ignore it & every institution will have more every year
- With a bit of effort: huge data production increases
- Where the Value is: the Analytics
 - Output can be highly customized
 - Moore's Law (doubling speed/power every 18 months)
 v. Our Students (1000x speed increase in 1 day)
 - \$2M computer v. 2 hours of algorithm design
 - · Low cost; little infrastructure; mostly human capital needed

- easy to come by; often a free byproduct of IT improvements
- becoming commoditized
- Ignore it & every institution will have more every year
- With a bit of effort: huge data production increases
- Where the Value is: the Analytics
 - Output can be highly customized
 - Moore's Law (doubling speed/power every 18 months)
 v. Our Students (1000x speed increase in 1 day)
 - \$2M computer v. 2 hours of algorithm design
 - · Low cost; little infrastructure; mostly human capital needed
 - Innovative analytics: enormously better than off-the-shelf

• Opinions of activists:

• Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews

 Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise:

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week?

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week? → 500K people carrying cell phones with accelerometers

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week? → 500K people carrying cell phones with accelerometers
- Social contacts:

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week? → 500K people carrying cell phones with accelerometers
- Social contacts: A survey: "Please tell me your 5 best friends"

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week? → 500K people carrying cell phones with accelerometers
- Social contacts: A survey: "Please tell me your 5 best friends" → continuous record of phone calls, emails, text messages, bluetooth, social media connections, address books

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week? → 500K people carrying cell phones with accelerometers
- Social contacts: A survey: "Please tell me your 5 best friends" → continuous record of phone calls, emails, text messages, bluetooth, social media connections, address books
- Economic development in developing countries:

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week? → 500K people carrying cell phones with accelerometers
- Social contacts: A survey: "Please tell me your 5 best friends" → continuous record of phone calls, emails, text messages, bluetooth, social media connections, address books
- Economic development in developing countries: Dubious or nonexistent governmental statistics

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week? → 500K people carrying cell phones with accelerometers
- Social contacts: A survey: "Please tell me your 5 best friends" → continuous record of phone calls, emails, text messages, bluetooth, social media connections, address books
- Economic development in developing countries: Dubious or nonexistent governmental statistics
 satellite images of human-generated light at night, road networks, other infrastructure

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week? → 500K people carrying cell phones with accelerometers
- Social contacts: A survey: "Please tell me your 5 best friends" → continuous record of phone calls, emails, text messages, bluetooth, social media connections, address books
- Economic development in developing countries: Dubious or nonexistent governmental statistics
 satellite images of human-generated light at night, road networks, other infrastructure
- Many, many, more. . .

- Opinions of activists: A few thousand interviews → billions of political opinions in social media posts (1B every 2 Days)
- Exercise: A survey: "How many times did you exercise last week? → 500K people carrying cell phones with accelerometers
- Social contacts: A survey: "Please tell me your 5 best friends" → continuous record of phone calls, emails, text messages, bluetooth, social media connections, address books
- Economic development in developing countries: Dubious or nonexistent governmental statistics → satellite images of human-generated light at night, road networks, other infrastructure
- Many, many, more. . .
- In each: without new analytics, the data are useless

Qualitative researchers: overwhelmed by information; need help

- Qualitative researchers: overwhelmed by information; need help
- Quantitative researchers: recognize the huge amounts of information in qualitative analyses, starting to analyze unstructured text, video, audio as data

- Qualitative researchers: overwhelmed by information; need help
- Quantitative researchers: recognize the huge amounts of information in qualitative analyses, starting to analyze unstructured text, video, audio as data
- Expert-vs-analytics contests: Whenever enough information is quantified, a right answer exists, and good analytics are applied: analytics wins

- Qualitative researchers: overwhelmed by information; need help
- Quantitative researchers: recognize the huge amounts of information in qualitative analyses, starting to analyze unstructured text, video, audio as data
- Expert-vs-analytics contests: Whenever enough information is quantified, a right answer exists, and good analytics are applied: analytics wins
- Moral of the story: Fully automated fails; fully human is inadequate. We need computer assisted, human controlled technology

• Examples of Bad Analytics:

- Examples of Bad Analytics:
 - Physicians' "Verbal Autopsy" analysis

- Examples of Bad Analytics:
 - Physicians' "Verbal Autopsy" analysis
 - Sentiment analysis via word counts

- Examples of Bad Analytics:
 - Physicians' "Verbal Autopsy" analysis
 - Sentiment analysis via word counts
- Different problems, Same Analytics Solution:

- Examples of Bad Analytics:
 - Physicians' "Verbal Autopsy" analysis
 - Sentiment analysis via word counts
- Different problems, Same Analytics Solution:
 - Key to both methods: classifying (deaths, social media posts)

- Examples of Bad Analytics:
 - Physicians' "Verbal Autopsy" analysis
 - Sentiment analysis via word counts
- Different problems, Same Analytics Solution:
 - Key to both methods: classifying (deaths, social media posts)
 - Key to both goals: estimating %'s

- Examples of Bad Analytics:
 - Physicians' "Verbal Autopsy" analysis
 - Sentiment analysis via word counts
- Different problems, Same Analytics Solution:
 - Key to both methods: classifying (deaths, social media posts)
 - Key to both goals: estimating %'s
- Modern Data Analytics: New method led to:

- Examples of Bad Analytics:
 - Physicians' "Verbal Autopsy" analysis
 - Sentiment analysis via word counts
- Different problems, Same Analytics Solution:
 - Key to both methods: classifying (deaths, social media posts)
 - Key to both goals: estimating %'s
- Modern Data Analytics: New method led to:

1.





Fast Company Names Crimson Hexagon Number Seven on "The 10 Most Innovative Companies in Web" List Leading Social Intelligence Firm Recognized For Revolutionary Measurement of Consumer Opinions in Social Media

ished: Wednesday, 16 Mar 2011 | 9:20 AM ET

of Text Size □

CAMBRIDGE, Mass., Mar 16, 2011 (BUSINESS WIRE) -- Fast Company named

- Examples of Bad Analytics:
 - Physicians' "Verbal Autopsy" analysis
 - Sentiment analysis via word counts
- Different problems, Same Analytics Solution:
 - Key to both methods: classifying (deaths, social media posts)
 - Key to both goals: estimating %'s
- Modern Data Analytics: New method led to:

1.





Fast Company Names Crimson Hexagon Number Seven on "The 10 Most Innovative Companies in Web" List Leading Social Intelligence Firm Recognized For Revolutionary Measurement of Consumer Opinions in Social Media



2. Worldwide cause-of-death estimates for

• Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts:

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:
 - Few statistical improvements for 75 years

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:
 - Few statistical improvements for 75 years
 - Ignore risk factors (smoking, obesity)

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:
 - Few statistical improvements for 75 years
 - Ignore risk factors (smoking, obesity)
 - Mostly informal (subject to error & political influence)

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:
 - Few statistical improvements for 75 years
 - Ignore risk factors (smoking, obesity)
 - Mostly informal (subject to error & political influence)
 - Forecasts: inaccurate, inconsistent, overly optimistic

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:
 - Few statistical improvements for 75 years
 - Ignore risk factors (smoking, obesity)
 - Mostly informal (subject to error & political influence)
 - Forecasts: inaccurate, inconsistent, overly optimistic
- New customized analytics we developed:

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:
 - Few statistical improvements for 75 years
 - Ignore risk factors (smoking, obesity)
 - Mostly informal (subject to error & political influence)
 - Forecasts: inaccurate, inconsistent, overly optimistic
- New customized analytics we developed:
 - Logical consistency (e.g., older people have higher mortality)

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:
 - Few statistical improvements for 75 years
 - Ignore risk factors (smoking, obesity)
 - Mostly informal (subject to error & political influence)
 - Forecasts: inaccurate, inconsistent, overly optimistic
- New customized analytics we developed:
 - Logical consistency (e.g., older people have higher mortality)
 - More accurate forecasts

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:
 - Few statistical improvements for 75 years
 - Ignore risk factors (smoking, obesity)
 - Mostly informal (subject to error & political influence)
 - Forecasts: inaccurate, inconsistent, overly optimistic
- New customized analytics we developed:
 - Logical consistency (e.g., older people have higher mortality)
 - More accurate forecasts
 - \rightsquigarrow Trust fund needs \approx \$1 trillion more than SSA thought

- Successful: single largest government program; lifted a whole generation out of poverty; extremely popular
- Solvency: depends on mortality forecasts: If retirees receive benefits longer than expected, the Trust Fund runs out
- SSA data: little change other than updates for 75 years
- SSA analytics:
 - Few statistical improvements for 75 years
 - Ignore risk factors (smoking, obesity)
 - Mostly informal (subject to error & political influence)
 - Forecasts: inaccurate, inconsistent, overly optimistic
- New customized analytics we developed:
 - Logical consistency (e.g., older people have higher mortality)
 - More accurate forecasts
 - $\bullet \ \leadsto \ \mathsf{Trust} \ \mathsf{fund} \ \mathsf{needs} \approx \$1 \ \mathsf{trillion} \ \mathsf{more} \ \mathsf{than} \ \mathsf{SSA} \ \mathsf{thought}$
 - Other applications to insurance industry, public health, etc.

• Writing Technology: Big changes

- Writing Technology: Big changes
 - Then: Quill tip pen & expensive paper

- Writing Technology: Big changes
 - Then: Quill tip pen & expensive paper
 - Now: Microsoft Word, Google docs, etc

- Writing Technology: Big changes
 - Then: Quill tip pen & expensive paper
 - Now: Microsoft Word, Google docs, etc
- Reading Technology: Little change (ripe for disruption)

- Writing Technology: Big changes
 - Then: Quill tip pen & expensive paper
 - Now: Microsoft Word, Google docs, etc
- Reading Technology: Little change (ripe for disruption)
 - Then: 50, 100, 300 years ago: Get book; read cover to cover

- Writing Technology: Big changes
 - Then: Quill tip pen & expensive paper
 - Now: Microsoft Word, Google docs, etc
- Reading Technology: Little change (ripe for disruption)
 - Then: 50, 100, 300 years ago: Get book; read cover to cover
 - Now:

- Writing Technology: Big changes
 - Then: Quill tip pen & expensive paper
 - Now: Microsoft Word, Google docs, etc
- Reading Technology: Little change (ripe for disruption)
 - Then: 50, 100, 300 years ago: Get book; read cover to cover
 - Now:
 - How often do you read a book cover-to-cover for work?

- Writing Technology: Big changes
 - Then: Quill tip pen & expensive paper
 - Now: Microsoft Word, Google docs, etc
- Reading Technology: Little change (ripe for disruption)
 - Then: 50, 100, 300 years ago: Get book; read cover to cover
 - Now:
 - How often do you read a book cover-to-cover for work?
 - We collect 100s of documents, read a few, delude ourselves into thinking we understand them all

- Writing Technology: Big changes
 - Then: Quill tip pen & expensive paper
 - Now: Microsoft Word, Google docs, etc
- Reading Technology: Little change (ripe for disruption)
 - Then: 50, 100, 300 years ago: Get book; read cover to cover
 - Now:
 - How often do you read a book cover-to-cover for work?
 - We collect 100s of documents, read a few, delude ourselves into thinking we understand them all
 - Goal: understanding from unstructured data (hardest part of big data)

- Writing Technology: Big changes
 - Then: Quill tip pen & expensive paper
 - Now: Microsoft Word, Google docs, etc
- Reading Technology: Little change (ripe for disruption)
 - Then: 50, 100, 300 years ago: Get book; read cover to cover
 - Now:
 - How often do you read a book cover-to-cover for work?
 - We collect 100s of documents, read a few, delude ourselves into thinking we understand them all
 - Goal: understanding from unstructured data (hardest part of big data)
 - More data isn't helpful! Novel analytics needed.

• To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.

- To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.
- Most firms: impose fixed categorizations to tally customer complaints, sort reports, retrieve information

- To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.
- Most firms: impose fixed categorizations to tally customer complaints, sort reports, retrieve information
- Bad Analytics:

- To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.
- Most firms: impose fixed categorizations to tally customer complaints, sort reports, retrieve information
- Bad Analytics:
 - Unassisted Human Categorization: time consuming; huge efforts trying not to innovate!

- To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.
- Most firms: impose fixed categorizations to tally customer complaints, sort reports, retrieve information
- Bad Analytics:
 - Unassisted Human Categorization: time consuming; huge efforts trying not to innovate!
 - Fully Automated "Cluster Analysis": Many widely available, but none work (computers don't know what you want!)

- To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.
- Most firms: impose fixed categorizations to tally customer complaints, sort reports, retrieve information
- Bad Analytics:
 - Unassisted Human Categorization: time consuming; huge efforts trying *not* to innovate!
 - Fully Automated "Cluster Analysis": Many widely available, but none work (computers don't know what you want!)
- Our alternative: Computer-assisted Categorization

- To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.
- Most firms: impose fixed categorizations to tally customer complaints, sort reports, retrieve information
- Bad Analytics:
 - Unassisted Human Categorization: time consuming; huge efforts trying *not* to innovate!
 - Fully Automated "Cluster Analysis": Many widely available, but none work (computers don't know what you want!)
- Our alternative: Computer-assisted Categorization
 - You decide what's important, but with help

- To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.
- Most firms: impose fixed categorizations to tally customer complaints, sort reports, retrieve information
- Bad Analytics:
 - Unassisted Human Categorization: time consuming; huge efforts trying not to innovate!
 - Fully Automated "Cluster Analysis": Many widely available, but none work (computers don't know what you want!)
- Our alternative: Computer-assisted Categorization
 - You decide what's important, but with help
 - Invert effort: you innovate; the computer categorizes

- To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.
- Most firms: impose fixed categorizations to tally customer complaints, sort reports, retrieve information
- Bad Analytics:
 - Unassisted Human Categorization: time consuming; huge efforts trying not to innovate!
 - Fully Automated "Cluster Analysis": Many widely available, but none work (computers don't know what you want!)
- Our alternative: Computer-assisted Categorization
 - You decide what's important, but with help
 - Invert effort: you innovate; the computer categorizes
 - Insights: easier, faster, better

- To understand many documents, humans create categories to represent conceptualization, insight, etc.
- Most firms: impose fixed categorizations to tally customer complaints, sort reports, retrieve information
- Bad Analytics:
 - Unassisted Human Categorization: time consuming; huge efforts trying *not* to innovate!
 - Fully Automated "Cluster Analysis": Many widely available, but none work (computers don't know what you want!)
- Our alternative: Computer-assisted Categorization
 - You decide what's important, but with help
 - Invert effort: you innovate; the computer categorizes
 - Insights: easier, faster, better
 - (Lots of technology, but it's behind the scenes)

1. What Members of Congress Do

- 1. What Members of Congress Do
 - Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases

- 1. What Members of Congress Do
 - Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
 - Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming

1. What Members of Congress Do

• Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases

• Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming

• New Insight: partisan taunting

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"
- How common is it?

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"
- How common is it? 27% of all Senatorial press releases!

1. What Members of Congress Do

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"
- How common is it? 27% of all Senatorial press releases!

1. What Members of Congress Do

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"
- How common is it? 27% of all Senatorial press releases!

2. What is the Chinese Government Censoring?

Previous approach: manual effort to see what is taken down

1. What Members of Congress Do

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"
- How common is it? 27% of all Senatorial press releases!

- Previous approach: manual effort to see what is taken down
- Data: We get posts before the Chinese censor them

1. What Members of Congress Do

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"
- How common is it? 27% of all Senatorial press releases!

- Previous approach: manual effort to see what is taken down
- Data: We get posts before the Chinese censor them
- We analyzed 11 million posts, about 13% censored

1. What Members of Congress Do

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks' "
- How common is it? 27% of all Senatorial press releases!

- Previous approach: manual effort to see what is taken down
- Data: We get posts before the Chinese censor them
- We analyzed 11 million posts, about 13% censored
- Previous understanding: they censor criticisms of the government

1. What Members of Congress Do

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"
- How common is it? 27% of all Senatorial press releases!

- Previous approach: manual effort to see what is taken down
- Data: We get posts before the Chinese censor them
- We analyzed 11 million posts, about 13% censored
- Previous understanding: they censor criticisms of the government
- Results:

1. What Members of Congress Do

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"
- How common is it? 27% of all Senatorial press releases!

- Previous approach: manual effort to see what is taken down
- Data: We get posts before the Chinese censor them
- We analyzed 11 million posts, about 13% censored
- Previous understanding: they censor criticisms of the government
- Results:
 - Uncensored: criticism of the government

1. What Members of Congress Do

- Data: 64,000 Senators' press releases
- Categorization: (1) advertising, (2) position taking, (3) credit claiming
- New Insight: partisan taunting
 - Joe Wilson during Obama's State of the Union: "You lie!"
 - "Senator Lautenberg Blasts Republicans as 'Chicken Hawks'"
- How common is it? 27% of all Senatorial press releases!

- Previous approach: manual effort to see what is taken down
- Data: We get posts before the Chinese censor them
- We analyzed 11 million posts, about 13% censored
- Previous understanding: they censor criticisms of the government
- Results:
 - Uncensored: criticism of the government
 - Censored: attempts at collective action

For more information



GaryKing.org