# How to "help solve society's most pressing challenges" (quote from ALI homepage)

#### Gary King<sup>1</sup>

Institute for Quantitative Social Science Harvard University

Harvard Advanced Leadership Initiative, 4/29/2021

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>GaryKing.org







- Connections
- M
- ?

- (S) Connections
- Methods
- ?

- **©** Connections
- (M) Methods
- ? Questions

- Connections: Sharing data, people, expertise
- (M) Methods
- ? Questions

- Connections: Sharing data, people, expertise
- Methods: Big data is not about the data!
- ? Questions

- Connections: Sharing data, people, expertise
- Methods: Big data is not about the data!
- ? Questions: Pivot freely; let data suggest new questions

- **©** Connections
- (M) Methods
- ? Questions







#### China

United States

Here's How

China 3/11.

• How'd we get to do this?

How'd we get to do this? trying M,

How'd we get to do this? trying M, with A,

How'd we get to do this? trying M, with , with , with , with .

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with S, → ?
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state
  - 2. Stop collective action

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action *Right*

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action *Right*
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!

- How'd we get to do this? trying (M), with (S),  $\rightsquigarrow$  (?)
- · Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action *Right*
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- · Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action *Right*
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:

· We can predict:

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- · Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action Right
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - · measure criticism: to judge local officials

· We can predict:

- How'd we get to do this? trying (M), with (S),  $\rightsquigarrow$  (?)
- · Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- · Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action Right
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - · measure criticism: to judge local officials
    - · censor: to stop events with collective action potential

· We can predict:

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- · Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action Right
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - · measure criticism: to judge local officials
    - · censor: to stop events with collective action potential
  - We can predict:
    - · Officials in trouble, likely to be replaced

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action Right
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - · measure criticism: to judge local officials
    - · censor: to stop events with collective action potential
  - We can predict:
    - · Officials in trouble, likely to be replaced
    - Dissident arrests;

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- · Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- · What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action Right
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - · measure criticism: to judge local officials
    - · censor: to stop events with collective action potential
  - We can predict:
    - · Officials in trouble, likely to be replaced
    - · Dissident arrests; new peace treaties;

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- · Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action Right
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - · measure criticism: to judge local officials
    - · censor: to stop events with collective action potential
  - We can predict:
    - · Officials in trouble, likely to be replaced
    - · Dissident arrests; new peace treaties; emerging scandals

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- · Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action *Right*
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - · measure criticism: to judge local officials
    - · censor: to stop events with collective action potential
  - We can predict:
    - · Officials in trouble, likely to be replaced
    - · Dissident arrests; new peace treaties; emerging scandals

· Disagreements between central and local leaders

China 4/11

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- · Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action *Right*
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - · measure criticism: to judge local officials
    - · censor: to stop events with collective action potential
  - We can predict:
    - · Officials in trouble, likely to be replaced
    - Dissident arrests; new peace treaties; emerging scandals
    - · Disagreements between central and local leaders

Applications:

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action *Right*
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - · measure criticism: to judge local officials
    - · censor: to stop events with collective action potential
  - We can predict:
    - · Officials in trouble, likely to be replaced
    - · Dissident arrests; new peace treaties; emerging scandals
    - · Disagreements between central and local leaders
- · Applications: Mike Smith as Dean of FAS.

- How'd we get to do this? trying M, with M, with M, with M, with M.
- Previous approach: watch a few posts; see what's removed
- Data: Download all posts before the Chinese censor them
- Everyone knows the Goal:
   Stop criticism and protest about the state,
   its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- What Could be the Goal?
  - 1. Stop criticism of the state Wrong
  - 2. Stop collective action Right
- Implications: Social Media is Actionable!
  - · Chinese leaders:
    - measure criticism: to judge local officials
    - · censor: to stop events with collective action potential
  - We can predict:

China

- · Officials in trouble, likely to be replaced
- Dissident arrests; new peace treaties; emerging scandals
- · Disagreements between central and local leaders
- Applications: Mike Smith as Dean of FAS. What about you?

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants:

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence?

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes;

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes; "no ground truth";

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes; "no ground truth"; "no successful attempts to quantify" 50c party activity;

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes; "no ground truth"; "no successful attempts to quantify" 50c party activity; even several analyses with made up dependent variables!

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes; "no ground truth"; "no successful attempts to quantify" 50c party activity; even several analyses with made up dependent variables!

Our evidence:

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes; "no ground truth"; "no successful attempts to quantify" 50c party activity; even several analyses with made up dependent variables!

#### Our evidence:

• 🕲 with journalists who found leaked archive in 1 county

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes; "no ground truth"; "no successful attempts to quantify" 50c party activity; even several analyses with made up dependent variables!

#### Our evidence:

- with journalists who found leaked archive in 1 county
- (?) from fact of leak to reason for 50c

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes; "no ground truth"; "no successful attempts to quantify" 50c party activity; even several analyses with made up dependent variables!

#### Our evidence:

- with journalists who found leaked archive in 1 county
- (?) from fact of leak to reason for 50c
- M to systematize messy text, image, email archive

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes; "no ground truth"; "no successful attempts to quantify" 50c party activity; even several analyses with made up dependent variables!

#### Our evidence:

- with journalists who found leaked archive in 1 county
- (?) from fact of leak to reason for 50c
- M to systematize messy text, image, email archive

· extrapolated results to all of China

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies

Existing evidence? A few anecdotes; "no ground truth"; "no successful attempts to quantify" 50c party activity; even several analyses with made up dependent variables!

#### Our evidence:

- with journalists who found leaked archive in 1 county
- (?) from fact of leak to reason for 50c
- M to systematize messy text, image, email archive
- · extrapolated results to all of China
- In a poll(!), convinced 50c to acknowledge behavior

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

 Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies Wrong

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

- Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- Fabricates 450M social media posts a year by hand!

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

- Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- Fabricates 450M social media posts a year by hand!
- Does not argue; does not engage on controversial issues

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

- Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- Fabricates 450M social media posts a year by hand!
- · Does not argue; does not engage on controversial issues
- Distracts; redirects public attention from criticism and central issues to cheerleading and positive discussions of valence issues

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

- Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- Fabricates 450M social media posts a year by hand!
- · Does not argue; does not engage on controversial issues
- Distracts; redirects public attention from criticism and central issues to cheerleading and positive discussions of valence issues

Applications:

The Government Surreptitiously Fabricating Social Media Posts

- Prevailing view of scholars, activists, journalists, social media participants: 50c party argues against those who criticize the government, its leaders, and their policies Wrong
- Fabricates 450M social media posts a year by hand!
- · Does not argue; does not engage on controversial issues
- Distracts; redirects public attention from criticism and central issues to cheerleading and positive discussions of valence issues

Applications: How to end a fight?

China 5/11.

Example Substitution 1:

**Example Substitution 1:** 

自由

## 







# Example Substitution 1: 自由 "Freedom" "Eye field" (nonsensical)

# Example Substitution 1: Homograph 「Freedom" (Freedom" (Freedom"

# Example Substitution 1: Homograph 「Freedom" (Freedom" (Freedom"

# Example Substitution 1: Homograph 「Freedom" (Electron of the control of the con

#### **Example Substitution 2:**

# Example Substitution 1: Homograph 「Freedom" (Freedom" (Freedom"

#### **Example Substitution 2:**

和谐

# Example Substitution 1: Homograph

自由 "Freedor

"Freedom" (CENSORED)

"Eye field" (nonsensical)

### **Example Substitution 2:**

和谐

"Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan)

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph

"Freedom" CENSORED

"Eye field" (nonsensical)

### **Example Substitution 2:**



"Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan)



### Example Substitution 1: Homograph

"Freedom" CENSORED

"Eye field" (nonsensical)

### **Example Substitution 2:**

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan)



### Example Substitution 1: Homograph

"Freedom" CENSORED

"Eye field" (nonsensical)

### **Example Substitution 2:**

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan)



"River crab"

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph

"Freedom" CENSORED

"Eye field" (nonsensical)

#### **Example Substitution 2:**



"Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan)



"River crab" (irrelevant)

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph



"Eye field" (nonsensical)

# Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan)



"River crab" (irrelevant)

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph



"Eye field" (nonsensical)

# Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐

"Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan) GENSURED



河蟹

"River crab" (irrelevant)

Censors can't follow the conversation;

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph

"Freedom" CENSORED

"Eye field" (nonsensical)

# Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐

"Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan) GENSURED



河蟹

"River crab" (irrelevant)

Censors can't follow the conversation; with (M) we can.

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph



"Eye field" (nonsensical)

### Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan) GENSURED



"River crab" (irrelevant)

Censors can't follow the conversation; with (M) we can.

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph



"Eye field" (nonsensical)

# Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan) GENSURED



"River crab" (irrelevant)

Censors can't follow the conversation; with (M) we can.

?): (1) Analysts,

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph



"Eye field" (nonsensical)

### Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan) GENSURED



"River crab" (irrelevant)

### Censors can't follow the conversation; with (M) we can.

(?): (1) Analysts, (2) eDiscovery,

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph



"Eye field" (nonsensical)

# Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan) GENSURED



"River crab" (irrelevant)

## Censors can't follow the conversation; with (M) we can.

(?): (1) Analysts, (2) eDiscovery, (3) Long tail search,

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph



"Eye field" (nonsensical)

### Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan) GENSURED



"River crab" (irrelevant)

### Censors can't follow the conversation; with (M) we can.

- (?): (1) Analysts, (2) eDiscovery, (3) Long tail search,
- (4) Language drift (#BostonBombings → #BostonStrong),

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph



"Eye field" (nonsensical)

### Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan) GENSURED



"River crab" (irrelevant)

# Censors can't follow the conversation; with (M) we can.

- (?): (1) Analysts, (2) eDiscovery, (3) Long tail search,
- (4) Language drift (#BostonBombings → #BostonStrong),
- (5) Look-alike modeling, etc.

### Example Substitution 1: Homograph



"Eye field" (nonsensical)

### Example Substitution 2: Homophone (sound like "hexie")

和谐 河蟹 "Harmonious [Society]" (official slogan) GENSURED



"River crab" (irrelevant)

# Censors can't follow the conversation; with (M) we can.

- (?): (1) Analysts, (2) eDiscovery, (3) Long tail search,
- (4) Language drift (#BostonBombings → #BostonStrong),
- (5) Look-alike modeling, etc. → Thresher

China 6/11. China

**United States** 

Here's How

United States 7/11.

• What's the evidence?

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives:

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow;

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance

Switch to the obvious (hard) ?

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance
- Switch to the obvious (hard) ?
- · What's required to randomize

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance
- Switch to the obvious (hard) ?
- · What's required to randomize
  - · Journalists:

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance
- Switch to the obvious (hard) ?
- · What's required to randomize
  - Journalists: total control over what's published & when

- What's the evidence?
  - We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance
- Switch to the obvious (hard) ?
- · What's required to randomize
  - Journalists: total control over what's published & when
  - · Scientists:

- What's the evidence?
  - We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance
- Switch to the obvious (hard) ?
- · What's required to randomize
  - Journalists: total control over what's published & when
  - Scientists: total control over what's published & when

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance
- Switch to the obvious (hard) ?
- · What's required to randomize
  - Journalists: total control over what's published & when
  - Scientists: total control over what's published & when

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance
- Switch to the obvious (hard) ?
- · What's required to randomize
  - Journalists: total control over what's published & when
  - Scientists: total control over what's published & when

• 窎 48 media outlets let us randomize:

- What's the evidence?
  - · We can't randomize!
  - Clever alternatives: (1) New TV tower, behind a hill, in radio shadow; (2) Before/after studies of "surprise" events; etc.
  - Better but → "Profound biases," > 600% difference from truth
  - Estimands: different, of sometimes questionable relevance
- Switch to the obvious (hard) ?
- What's required to randomize
  - Journalists: total control over what's published & when
  - Scientists: total control over what's published & when
- 48 media outlets let us randomize:









• We choose a policy area (1 of 11)

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a *pack* of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)

The pack chooses subject for articles

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)

Matched Pair Randomization M

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization (M)
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - *One* coin flip: to choose weeks

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)

Statistical Methods M

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - · Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - · Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years
  - · Automated Text Analysis: To measure national conversation

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - · Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years
  - Automated Text Analysis: To measure national conversation

Results

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - · Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years
  - Automated Text Analysis: To measure national conversation
- Results
  - · Causal effects:

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - · Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years
  - Automated Text Analysis: To measure national conversation
- Results
  - · Causal effects: 1st day: 19.4% increase,

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - · Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years
  - Automated Text Analysis: To measure national conversation
- Results
  - Causal effects: 1st day: 19.4% increase, Total: 62.7% increase

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - · Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years
  - Automated Text Analysis: To measure national conversation
- Results
  - Causal effects: 1st day: 19.4% increase, Total: 62.7% increase
  - · Context: 3 small media outlets

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - · Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years
  - Automated Text Analysis: To measure national conversation
- Results
  - Causal effects: 1st day: 19.4% increase, Total: 62.7% increase
  - Context: 3 small media outlets → national conversation

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years
  - Automated Text Analysis: To measure national conversation
- Results
  - Causal effects: 1st day: 19.4% increase, Total: 62.7% increase
  - Context: 3 small media outlets → national conversation → policy agenda

- We choose a policy area (1 of 11)
- Outlets volunteer for a pack of ≈ 3 (with our approval), following "project manager" protocol (e.g., Panama Papers)
- The pack chooses subject for articles
  - · We approve: If rejected, outlets can still publish
  - Requirement: No breaking news (so we can randomize timing)
- Matched Pair Randomization M
  - · Match weeks on similarly of predicted news
  - One coin flip: to choose weeks
    - Treatment week: publish & promote articles (usually Tuesday)
    - · Control week: no compensation or special actions
  - Outlets Publish Simultaneously (following their procedures)
- Statistical Methods M
  - · Sequential Hypothesis Testing: Saved \$ millions & years
  - Automated Text Analysis: To measure national conversation
- Results
  - Causal effects: 1st day: 19.4% increase, Total: 62.7% increase
  - Context: 3 small media outlets → national conversation → policy agenda → policy

United States 9/11 .

China

United States

Here's How

Here's How 10/11.

(S) Connections

Connections

M Methods

**©** Connections

M Methods

? Questions

- (S) Connections
- M Methods
- ? Questions

For more information GaryKing.org